

REMARKS

In accordance with the foregoing, the Title of the Invention and claims 7, 16 and 18 have been amended. Claims 1-18 are pending and under consideration. Claim 18 is objected to.

It is respectfully submitted that the objections to the Specification and claim 16 are overcome by the present amendments thereto.

It is noted that "Koyama" is referred to as a reference in the rejections at items 6 and 7 of the Office Action. However, this reference is not listed in the form 892 attached to the Office Action. In a telephone conversation between the Examiner and the Applicant's representative conducted on July 25, 2005, the Examiner clarified that any reference in the Office Action to Koyama was in error. Instead, the Examiner intended to refer to Matsumoto.

The rejections based on Matsumoto are respectfully traversed.

Using independent claim 1 as an example, this claim recites a polarization direction adjuster to adjust transmission directions of each of the polarized cells according to the image data. Thus, the adjusting is according to the image data. The Examiner relies upon the LCD panel moving section 26 of Matsumoto as corresponding to the claimed polarization direction adjuster. However, this element does not adjust according to the image data. Instead, adjustment is on the basis of a defective pixel. Specifically, when a pixel has a defect, there are two exposures, each at different positions of the LCD panel 20. Matsumoto, col. 7, ln. 42-57.

Independent claim 7 is similarly patentable over Matsumoto.

Claim 3 depends from independent claim 1 and recites that the polarization direction adjuster comprises a plurality of driving devices respectively connected to each of the polarized cells. The Examiner relies upon the piezoelectric elements 28, 64 of Matsumoto as corresponding to this feature. The Examiner further states that the LCD panel 20 is defined as having a plurality of liquid crystal cells. Office Action, page 3. However, this reference discloses a single pair of the elements 28, 64 to control the LCD panel 20 and all the cells therein. Matsumoto, FIG. 1. Thus, this reference does not disclose the respectively connected driving devices as claimed.

Claim 12 depends from independent claim 7 and recites that the polarization direction adjuster applies the tension independently to each of the polarized cells. Since the single pair of the elements 28, 64 control the LCD panel 20, Matsumoto does not teach this feature.

The remaining references do not overcome these deficiencies in Matsumoto.
Accordingly, withdrawal of rejection is requested.

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.


Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: 9-1-05

By: 
Michael J. Badagliacca
Registration No. 39,099

1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501